





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/720,070	09/27/1996	RICHARD G HYATT JR.	P53821C	1185
7590 10/03/2003			EXAMINER	
ROBERT E BUSHNELL, ATTORNEY-AT-LAW 1522 K STREET,N.W. SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 200051202			BARRETT, SUZANNE LALE DINO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3676	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) HYATT, RICHARD G Examiner Suzanne Dino Barrett 3676 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - THE REPLY FILED 30 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	114.
PERIOD F	OR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	mailing date of the final rejection. this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Y WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s	The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under hortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any
	pellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be ent	ered because:
(a) they raise new issues that would require	e further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see	Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	cation in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without	canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	g rejection(s):
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requality application in condition for allowance because	uest for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the use: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consider raised by the Examiner in the final rejection	red because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly
	dment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an anims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as for	ollows:
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>_</u> .
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure St	atement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:	Suzanne Dino Barrett

Suzanne Dino Barre Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3676 الره





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has failed to argue or mention the rejections set forth in the final office action of 6/13/03. Furthermore, applicant's discussion of claims 85-88 is erroneous and moot, since these are not the claims that have been filed in the DIV 10/440,308. In addition, it is unclear why applicant has instructed the examiner to disregard the response of 7/14/03 (the 1.607(a) analysis) since this is necessary to proceed to Interference and it has not also been filed in the DIV 10/440,308 case.